



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,752	04/27/2001	Bin Yu	P1316	2099
7:	590 04/22/2003			
LaRiviere, Grubman & Payne, LLP P.O. Box 3140 Monterey, CA 93942			EXAMINER	
			POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- CM		
Advisory Action	09/844,752	YU, BIN			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ron E Pompey	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE AP	TION FOR ALLOWA cation. A proper repl	NCE. y to a		
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply the later than these markets.	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee.	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pet 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	oriod ant fauth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	elow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: See	Continuation Sheet.				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the proposed amendment of t	s) a)  will not be entered or b)[ lld be rejected is provided below	will be entered an	d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••			
Claim(s) allowed:			·		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	er.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					
TO 202 (Dov. 04 04)	ry Action	Part of Paper No	10		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the way the claims are written do not proclude the halo region to be formed before the raised source and drain.

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800